

# DANGER ASSESSMENT-5 (DA-5) BRIEF RISK ASSESSMENT FOR CLINICIANS

Copyright 2009, 2017 • [www.dangerassessment.org](http://www.dangerassessment.org)

The DA-5 is a brief risk assessment that identifies victims at high risk for homicide or severe injury by a current or former intimate partner.<sup>1-3</sup> It should be used when intimate partner violence has been identified in the Emergency Department or other health care settings, protective order or child custody hearings, or other brief-treatment/practice settings. Presence of these risk factors could mean the victim is in danger of serious injury and/or homicide. Evidence-based risk assessments should be used in combination with survivor self-determination and practitioner expertise to collaboratively develop the best way forward for each individual.

Mark **Yes** or **No** for each of the following questions.

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1. Has the physical violence increased in severity or frequency over the past year?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2. Has your partner (or ex) ever used a weapon against you or threatened you with a weapon?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. Do you believe your parent (or ex) is capable of killing you?
- \_\_\_\_\_ \*4. Has your partner (or ex) **ever tried** to choke/strangle you or cut off your breathing?
- 4a. If yes, did your partner ever choke/strangle you or cut off your breathing? check here: \_\_\_\_\_
- 4b. About how long ago? \_\_\_\_\_
- 4c. Did it happen more than once? \_\_\_\_\_
- 4d. Did it make you pass out of black out or make you dizzy? \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ 5. Is your partner (or ex) violently and constantly jealous of you?

\_\_\_\_\_ **Total "Yes" answers**

\*can be asked instead of or in addition to: Have you ever been beaten by your partner (or ex) while you were pregnant?

Scoring Instructions	Brief Strangulation Protocol
<p><b>4 or 5 "yes" responses:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Tell the victim they are in danger. Give them the choice of reporting to the police and/or a confidential hotline (800-799-7233). Make the call with the victim and/or complete an in-person hand-off to a knowledgeable advocate.</li></ul> <p><b>3 "yes" responses:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>If the victim is female and you are trained to use the DA:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Complete the full DA using the calendar and weighted scoring. Inform the victim of her level of danger. Do safety planning based on the full DA results.</li></ul></li><li>If the victim is female and you are NOT trained to use the DA:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Refer and hand-off the victim to someone certified to administer the full DA (in-person or voice-to-voice hand-off is preferable).</li></ul></li></ul> <p><b>2 "yes" responses:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Tell the victim there are 2 risk factors for serious injury/assault/homicide. If victim agrees, refer and hand-off to a knowledgeable advocate (in-person or voice-to-voice hand-off is preferable).</li></ul> <p><b>0-1 "yes" responses:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Proceed with normal referral/procedural processes for domestic violence.</li></ul>	<p>If the victim answered <b>yes to 4a</b>, follow this strangulation protocol for further assessment and/or refer to someone who is trained to conduct the following assessment.</p> <p><b>If the strangulation was less than a week ago:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Examine the inside of the throat, neck, face, and scalp for physical signs of strangulation.</li><li>Refer to the strangulation assessment and radiographic evaluation information at <a href="http://www.strangulationtraininginstitute.com">www.strangulationtraininginstitute.com</a></li><li>Proceed with emergency medical care for strangulation, especially if loss of consciousness or possible loss of consciousness (victims are commonly unsure about loss of consciousness) particularly if they became incontinent—ask if the victim "wet themselves".</li></ul> <p><b>If there were multiple strangulations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Conduct a neurological exam for brain injury or refer for examination. Inform the victim of increased risk for homicide.</li></ul> <p><b>If the victim wants, notify police and/or prosecutors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Know state/local law on strangulation and mandatory reporting and inform the victim.</li></ul> <p>For more information, visit <a href="http://www.dangerassessment.org">www.dangerassessment.org</a></p>

<sup>1</sup>This is a brief adaptation of the Danger Assessment (2003). The full DA with weighted scoring provides the most accurate assessment of risk. The DA and its revisions are evidence-based risk assessments intended for use with survivors to educate them and their supports about their risk of lethality or reassault and to inform their decision-making. <sup>2</sup> Snider, C., Webster, D., O'Sullivan, S.C., & Campbell, J. (2009). Intimate partner violence: Development of a brief risk assessment for the emergency department. *Society for Academic Emergency Medicine*, 16, 1209-1216. <sup>3</sup> Messing, J.T., Campbell, J.C., & Snider, C. (2017). Validation and adaptation of the Danger Assessment-5 (DA-5): A brief intimate partner violence risk assessment. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 73, 3220-3230.

---

## DANGER ASSESSMENT

Jacquelyn C. Campbell, Ph.D., R.N. Copyright, 2003; update 2019; [www.dangerassessment.com](http://www.dangerassessment.com)

---

Several risk factors have been associated with increased risk of homicides (murders) of women and men in violent relationships. We cannot predict what will happen in your case, but we would like you to be aware of the danger of homicide in situations of abuse and for you to see how many of the risk factors apply to your situation.

Using the calendar, please mark the approximate dates during the past year when you were abused by your partner or ex-partner. Write on that date how bad the incident was according to the following scale:

1. Slapping, pushing; no injuries and/or lasting pain
  2. Punching, kicking; bruises, cuts, and/or continuing pain
  3. "Beating up"; severe contusions, burns, broken bones
  4. Threat to use weapon; head injury, internal injury, permanent injury, miscarriage or choking\* (use a © in the date to indicate choking/strangulation/cut off your breathing- example 4©)
  5. Use of weapon; wounds from weapon
- (If **any** of the descriptions for the higher number apply, use the higher number.)
- 

Mark **Yes** or **No** for each of the following. ("He" refers to your husband, partner, ex-husband, ex-partner, or whoever is currently physically hurting you.)

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1. Has the physical violence increased in severity or frequency over the past year?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2. Does he own a gun?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. Have you left him after living together during the past year?  
3a. (If you have *never* lived with him, check here: \_\_)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4. Is he unemployed?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 5. Has he ever used a weapon against you or threatened you with a lethal weapon? (If yes, was the weapon a gun? check here: \_\_)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 6. Does he threaten to kill you?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 7. Has he avoided being arrested for domestic violence?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 8. Do you have a child that is not his?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 9. Has he ever forced you to have sex when you did not wish to do so?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 10. Does he ever try to choke/strangle you or cut off your breathing?  
10a. (If yes, has he done it more than once, or did it make you pass out or black out or make you dizzy? check here: \_\_)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 11. Does he use illegal drugs? By drugs, I mean "uppers" or amphetamines, "meth", speed, angel dust, cocaine, "crack", street drugs or mixtures.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 12. Is he an alcoholic or problem drinker?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 13. Does he control most or all of your daily activities? For instance, does he tell you who you can be friends with, when you can see your family, how much money you can use, or when you can take the car? (If he tries, but you do not let him, check here: \_\_)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 14. Is he violently and constantly jealous of you? (For instance, does he say: "If I can't have you, no one can.")
- \_\_\_\_\_ 15. Have you ever been beaten by him while you were pregnant? (If you have never been pregnant by him, check here: \_\_)
- \_\_\_\_\_ 16. Has he ever threatened or tried to commit suicide?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 17. Does he threaten to harm your children?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 18. Do you believe he is capable of killing you?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 19. Does he follow or spy on you, leave threatening notes or messages, destroy your property, or call you when you don't want him to?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 20. Have you ever threatened or tried to commit suicide?

\_\_\_\_\_ Total "Yes" Answers

**Thank you. Please talk to your nurse, advocate, or counselor about what the Danger Assessment means in your situation.**

## SCORING SHEET FOR SCREENS

**Danger Assessment (20 items) Score = \_\_\_\_\_**

### **Danger Assessment Scoring**

Add total number of "yes" responses: 1 through 20 \_\_\_\_\_

Add 4 points for each "yes" to questions 2 and 3 \_\_\_\_\_

Add 3 points for a "yes" to question 4 \_\_\_\_\_

Add 2 points for a "yes" to questions 5,6, and 7 \_\_\_\_\_

Add 1 point for each "yes" to questions 8 and 9 \_\_\_\_\_

Subtract 3 points if 3a is checked \_\_\_\_\_

**Total** \_\_\_\_\_

Based on sum of weighted scoring place into 1 of the following categories:

**Less than 8 "variable danger"**- Be sure to tell women level can change quickly – watch for other signs of danger, believe in their gut.

**8 to 13 "increased danger"**- Advise women of risk, assertive safety planning; consult with judges, high level of supervision recommendations.

**14 to 17 "severe danger"**- Advise women of risk, assertive safety planning; consult with judges, high level of supervision recommendations.

**18 or more "extreme danger"**- Advise of serious danger – take assertive actions, call for criminal justice or other professional help, recommend highest bail, highest sentencing, highest probation supervision.

**DANGER ASSESSMENT-Revised**

**For Use in Abusive Female Same-Sex Relationships**

Nancy Glass, PhD, MPH, RN & Jacquelyn C. Campbell, PhD, RN, FAAN

Copyright 2007 Johns Hopkins University, School of Nursing

Several risk factors have been associated with increased risk of re-assault of women in abusive same-sex relationships. We cannot predict what will happen in your case, but we would like you to be aware of the danger of repeat abuse and for you to see how many of the risk factors apply to your situation.

Using the calendar, please mark the approximate dates during the past year when you were abused by your partner or ex partner. Write on that date how bad the incident was according to the following scale:

1. Slapping, pushing; no injuries and/or lasting pain
2. Punching, kicking; bruises, cuts, and/or continuing pain
3. "Beating up"; severe contusions, burns, broken bones, miscarriage
4. Threat to use weapon; head injury, internal injury, permanent injury, miscarriage
5. Use of weapon; wounds from weapon

(If **any** of the descriptions for the higher number apply, use the higher number.)

Mark **Yes** or **No** for each of the following.

("She" refers to your female partner or ex-partner)

<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	
___	___	1. Is she constantly jealous and/or possessive of you?
___	___	2. Does she try to isolate you socially?
___	___	3. Has the physical violence increased in severity or frequency over the past year?
___	___	4. Has she threatened you with a gun over the past year?
___	___	5. Have you lived with her in the past year?
___	___	6. Has she ever abused or threatened to abuse a previous intimate partner, or their family members or friends?
___	___	7. Does she use illegal drugs, (by illegal drugs, I mean "uppers" or amphetamines, "meth," speed, angel dust, cocaine, "crack," street drugs or mixtures) or abuse prescription medication?
___	___	8. Is she an alcoholic or problem drinker?
___	___	9. Does she try to control/limit your spirituality?
___	___	10. Does she constantly blame you and/or put you down?
___	___	11. Has she destroyed or threatened to destroy things that belong to you?
___	___	12. Has she threatened to harm a:
___	___	12a Pet?
___	___	12b Elderly family member?
___	___	12c Person you care for with a disability?
___	___	13. Has she ever violated a restraining order?
___	___	14. Does she stalk you, for example, follow or spy on you, leave threatening notes or messages on answering machine or cell phone, call you when you do not want her to?
___	___	15. If you were being abused by her and tried to get help, do you think people would <b>not</b> take you seriously?
___	___	16. If you were being abused by her, would fear of reinforcing negative stereotypes about female same-sex relationships and/or being discriminated against prevent you from seeking help, for example help from friends, domestic violence advocates, or health care providers?
___	___	17. If you were having serious difficulties with her, would you keep it a secret out of fear or shame?
___	___	18. Have <b>you</b> threatened or tried to kill yourself?

Total "Yes" Answers

**Thank you. Please talk to your nurse, advocate or counselor about what the Danger Assessment-Revised means in terms of your situation.**

### **Weighted Score for DA-R**

Yes to Item 1 = 4 points

Yes to Item 2 = 3 points

Yes to Item 3 = 2 points

Yes to Item 4 = 2 points

Yes to Item 5 = 2 points

Yes to Item 6 = 2 points

Yes to Items 7-17 = 1 point each

Item 18 is not scored, assessing her suicide attempt

## **Danger Assessment**

Jacquelyn C. Campbell, PhD, RN, FAAN

Copyright 2004 Johns Hopkins University, School of Nursing  
Corrections to calendar scale 2/3/2010

### 2011 Calendar

Several risk factors have been associated with increased risk of homicides (murders) of women and men in violent relationships. We cannot predict what will happen in your case, but we would like you to be aware of the danger of homicide in situations of abuse and for you to see how many of the risk factors apply to your situation.

Using the calendar, please mark the approximate dates during the past year when you were abused by your partner or ex partner. Write on that date how bad the incident was according to the following scale:

1. Slapping, pushing; no injuries and/or lasting pain
2. Punching, kicking; bruises, cuts, and/or continuing pain
3. "Beating up"; severe contusions, burns, broken bones
4. Threat to use weapon; head injury, internal injury, permanent injury, miscarriage, choking
5. Use of weapon; wounds from weapon

(If **any** of the descriptions for the higher number apply, use the higher number.)

<b>January</b>	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
						1	2
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
	31						
	<b>2011</b>						

<b>February</b>	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
		1	2	3	4	5	6
	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	28						
	<b>2011</b>						

<b>March</b>	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
		1	2	3	4	5	6
	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	28	29	30	31			
<b>2011</b>							

<b>April</b>	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
					1	2	3
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	25	26	27	28	29	30	
<b>2011</b>							

<b>May</b>      <b>2011</b>	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
							1
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
	30	31					

<b>June</b>      <b>2011</b>	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
			1	2	3	4	5
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
	27	28	29	30			

<b>July</b>     <b>2011</b>	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
					1	2	3
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
	25	26	27	28	29	30	31

<b>August</b>     <b>2011</b>	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
	22	23	24	25	26	27	29
	29	30	31				



**September**

2011

Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

**October**

2011

Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

**November**

2011

Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

**December**

2011

Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

A team of researchers studied the Danger Assessment and found that despite certain limitations, the tool can with some reliability identify women who may be at risk of being killed by an intimate partner.



## Assessing Risk Factors for Intimate Partner Homicide

*by Jacquelyn C. Campbell, Daniel Webster, Jane Koziol-McLain, Carolyn Rebecca Block, Doris Campbell, Mary Ann Curry, Faye Gary, Judith McFarlane, Carolyn Sachs, Phyllis Sharps, Yvonne Ulrich, and Susan A. Wilt*

### **About the Authors**

The research reported here was supported with a grant from the National Institute on Drug Abuse and the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (R01 DA/AA 11156), with funding also provided by NIJ. Many people contributed: Jacquelyn C. Campbell, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N., School of Nursing, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland; Daniel Webster, Sc.D., Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University; Jane Koziol-McLain, Ph.D., R.N., Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand; Carolyn Rebecca Block, Ph.D., Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority, Chicago; Doris Campbell, Ph.D., R.N., College of Medicine, University of South Florida, Tampa; Mary Ann Curry, Ph.D., R.N., School of Nursing, Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland; Faye Gary, Ed.D., R.N., College of Nursing, University of Florida, Gainesville; Judith McFarlane, Ph.D., R.N., Texas Women's University, Houston; Carolyn Sachs, M.D., M.P.H., School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles; Phyllis Sharps, Ph.D., R.N., School of Nursing, Johns Hopkins University; Yvonne Ulrich, Ph.D., R.N., School of Nursing, University of Washington, Seattle; and Susan A. Wilt, Dr.P.H., New York City Department of Health.

**W**hy does domestic violence turn to murder? Can we measure the risk of death for a battered woman? Which women in abusive relationships are most likely to be killed?

One helpful tool for finding answers to these questions is called the Danger Assessment.<sup>1</sup> The series of 15 questions on the Danger Assessment is designed to measure a woman's risk in an abusive relationship. (See figure 1.)

### Figure 1: The Danger Assessment Tool

The Danger Assessment Tool was developed in 1985 and revised in 1988 after reliability and validity studies were done. Completing the Danger Assessment can help a woman evaluate the degree of danger she faces and consider what she should do next. Practitioners are reminded that the Danger Assessment is meant to be used with a calendar to enhance the accuracy of the battered woman's recall of events. The Danger Assessment can be printed from <http://www.son.jhmi.edu/research/CNR/homicide/DANGER.htm>, which also gives directions regarding permission for use.

#### DANGER ASSESSMENT

Jacquelyn C. Campbell, Ph.D., R.N.  
Copyright 1985, 1988

Several risk factors have been associated with homicides (murders) of both batterers and battered women in research conducted after the murders have taken place. We cannot predict what will happen in your case, but we would like you to be aware of the danger of homicide in situations of severe battering and for you to see how many of the risk factors apply to your situation.

Using the calendar, please mark the approximate dates during the past year when you were beaten by your husband or partner. Write on that date how bad the incident was according to the following scale:

1. Slapping, pushing; no injuries and/or lasting pain
2. Punching, kicking; bruises, cuts, and/or continuing pain
3. "Beating up"; severe contusions, burns, broken bones
4. Threat to use weapon; head injury, internal injury, permanent injury
5. Use of weapon; wounds from weapon

(If any of the descriptions for the higher number apply, use the higher number.)

Mark Yes or No for each of the following. ("He" refers to your husband, partner, ex-husband, ex-partner, or whoever is currently physically hurting you.)

1. Has the physical violence increased in frequency over the past year?
2. Has the physical violence increased in severity over the past year and/or has a weapon or threat from a weapon ever been used?
3. Does he ever try to choke you?
4. Is there a gun in the house?
5. Has he ever forced you to have sex when you did not wish to do so?
6. Does he use drugs? By drugs, I mean "uppers" or amphetamines, speed, angel dust, cocaine, "crack," street drugs, or mixtures.
7. Does he threaten to kill you and/or do you believe he is capable of killing you?
8. Is he drunk every day or almost every day? (In terms of quantity of alcohol.)
9. Does he control most or all of your daily activities? For instance: does he tell you who you can be friends with, how much money you can take with you shopping, or when you can take the car? (If he tries, but you do not let him, check here: )
10. Have you ever been beaten by him while you were pregnant? (If you have never been pregnant by him, check here: )
11. Is he violently and constantly jealous of you? (For instance, does he say "If I can't have you, no one can.")
12. Have you ever threatened or tried to commit suicide?
13. Has he ever threatened or tried to commit suicide?
14. Is he violent toward your children?
15. Is he violent outside of the home?

Total "Yes" Answers

**Thank you. Please talk to your nurse, advocate, or counselor about what the Danger Assessment means in terms of your situation.**

#### References:

- Campbell, Jacquelyn C., *Assessing Dangerousness: Violence by Sexual Offenders, Batterers, and Child Abusers*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications, 1995.
- Campbell, Jacquelyn C., Phyllis W. Sharps, and Nancy Glass, "Risk Assessment for Intimate Partner Violence," in *Clinical Assessment of Dangerousness: Empirical Contributions*, ed. Georges-Franck Pinard and Linda Pagani, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000: 136-157.

*A tool like the Danger Assessment—or another risk assessment process—may assist women (and the professionals who help them) to better understand the potential for danger and the level of their risk.*

A team of researchers studied the Danger Assessment and found that despite certain limitations, the tool can with some reliability identify women who may be at risk of being killed by their intimate partners. The study found that women who score 8 or higher on the Danger Assessment are at very grave risk (the average score for women who were murdered was just under 8). Women who score 4 or higher are at great risk (the average score for abused women was just over 3). The findings indicate that the Danger Assessment tool can assist in assessing battered women who may be at risk of being killed as well as those who are not.

The study also found that almost half the murdered women studied did not recognize the high level of their risk. Thus, a tool like the Danger Assessment—or another risk assessment process—may assist women (and the professionals who help them) to better understand the potential for danger and the level of their risk.

### Limitations and Caveats

Eighty-three percent of the women who were killed had scores of 4 or higher, but so did almost 40 percent of the women who were *not* killed. This finding indicates that practitioners can use the Danger Assessment (like all intimate partner violence risk assessment tools) as a guide in the process rather than as a precise actuarial tool.<sup>2</sup>

It also indicates the need for a more precise cutoff score. Perhaps giving greater weight to certain questions, such as those related to guns and threats, could accomplish greater precision.

Cutoff scores should identify those who are at great risk of being killed, not miscategorize women who are not likely to be killed. Both categories are important because if the cutoff score is too high, women in extreme danger

may be missed. If the cutoff score is too low, women with a lower risk of being murdered may be scared unnecessarily, and potential perpetrators' liberty may be restricted unfairly. Although finding a realistic cutoff score is difficult, it is crucial and something the researchers will continue to study.

### High Correlations: Guns and Threats to Kill

Previous studies have looked at the relationship of gun ownership or possession to intimate partner homicide, particularly when the partners live apart.<sup>3</sup> The Danger Assessment study found that women who were threatened or assaulted with a gun or other weapon were 20 times more likely than other women to be murdered. Women whose partners threatened them with murder were 15 times more likely than other women to be killed. When a gun was in the house, an abused woman was 6 times more likely than other abused women to be killed. (See figure 2.)


Although drug abuse or serious alcohol abuse (where the abuser was drunk every day or almost every day) also translates into increased risk and tends to separate batterers from intimate partners who kill, threats to kill, extreme jealousy, attempts to choke, and forced sex present higher risks.<sup>4</sup>

### Low Correlation: Threatened or Attempted Suicide

Threatened or attempted suicide by either males or females in the study were not found to be predictors of intimate partner homicide. However, there is an increased risk of homicide when the man is suicidal and there has not been any physical abuse. Approximately one-third of the murders studied were homicide-suicides. Further analysis is needed to learn how a man's potential for suicide increases his partner's risk of becoming a homicide-suicide victim.

**Figure 2: Danger Assessment Risk Factors Among Murder Victims and Abused Women**

(The numbers in parentheses are unadjusted odds ratios and indicate the likelihood of being in the homicide versus the abused group.\*)



*The Danger Assessment study found that women who were threatened or assaulted with a gun were 20 times more likely than other women to be murdered. Women whose partners threatened them with murder were 15 times more likely than other women to be killed.*

\* All items had significant odds ratio (95 percent confidence interval excludes the value of 1), except the last two factors (partner and victim suicidality).

*In safety planning, an abuser's threats with a weapon or threats to kill should be rated as particularly serious, as should a possible murderer's access to a gun.*

## THE NUMBERS

Women are killed by intimate partners—husbands, lovers, ex-husbands, or ex-lovers—more often than by any other category of killer.<sup>1</sup> Homicide of women is a leading cause of death in the United States among young African American women aged 15 to 45 years.<sup>2</sup> [The preceding sentence was revised March 11, 2014.] Intimate partner homicides make up 40 to 50 percent of all murders of women in the United States, according to city- or State-specific data-bases (as opposed to the Federal Supplementary Homicide Reports).<sup>3</sup> Significantly, the Federal report doesn't have an ex-boyfriend/ex-girlfriend category, which accounts for as much as 11 percent of intimate partner homicides of women and for 2 to 3 percent of intimate partner homicides committed by women.

In 70 to 80 percent of intimate partner homicides, no matter which partner was killed, the man physically abused the woman before the murder.<sup>4</sup> Thus, one of the primary ways to decrease intimate partner homicide is to identify and intervene promptly with abused women at risk.

1. Mercy, James A., and Linda E. Saltzman, "Fatal Violence Among Spouses in the United States, 1976–85," *American Journal of Public Health* 79 (1989): 595–599; Bailey, James E., Arthur L. Kellermann, Grant W. Somes, Joyce G. Banton, Frederick P. Rivara, and Norman B. Rushforth, "Risk Factors for Violent Death of Women in the Home," *Archives of Internal Medicine* 157(7) (1997): 777–782; and Bachman, Ronet, and Linda E. Saltzman, *Violence Against Women: Estimates From the Redesigned Survey*, Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics and National Institute of Justice, 1995 (NCJ 154348).
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Office of Statistics and Programming, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Data Source: National Center for Health Statistics, National Vital Statistics System, <http://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/leadingcauses.html>. [This citation was revised March 11, 2014.]
3. Campbell, Jacquelyn C., "If I Can't Have You, No One Can: Power and Control in Homicide of Female Partners," in *Femicide: The Politics of Woman Killing*, ed. Jill Radford and Diana E.H. Russell, New York: Twayne Publishers, 1992: 99–113; and Langford, Linda, Nancy Isaac, and Stacey Kabat, "Homicides Related to Intimate Partner Violence in Massachusetts," *Homicide Studies* 2(4) (1998): 353–377.
4. Pataki, George, *Intimate Partner Homicides in New York State*, Albany, NY: State of New York, 1997; Office of Justice Programs, *Violence by Intimates*; Campbell, "If I Can't Have You"; McFarlane, Judith M., Jacquelyn C. Campbell, Susan A. Wilt, Carolyn J. Sachs, Yvonne Ulrich, and Xiao Xu, "Stalking and Intimate Partner Femicide," *Homicide Studies* 3(4) (1999): 300–316; and Campbell, Jacquelyn C., *Assessing Dangerousness: Violence by Sexual Offenders, Batterers, and Child Abusers*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications, 1995.

This study did not examine the risk faced by men of intimate partner homicide when the woman was suicidal, so this factor's weight was not determined.<sup>5</sup> However, since the question of whether a woman is suicidal is important for prevention efforts, the researchers recommend that it remain on the assessment.

## The Safety Plan

In safety planning, an abuser's threats with a weapon or threats to kill should be rated as particularly serious, as should a possible murderer's access to a gun. Thus, the researchers suggest that the legal prohibition against gun ownership

for those convicted of domestic violence is especially important to enforce, and any protection order should include firearms search-and-seizure provisions.

However, criminal justice practitioners making decisions about an alleged batterer's bail or sentencing should keep in mind that more than a third of women who had a score of 4 or higher were not murdered. The research showed that only a score of 8 or 9 reliably identified those women who were killed. Thus, while the current cutoff score of 4 suggests the need for great caution and for protective action, it does not reliably identify a woman's risk of death.

NCJ 196547

*In safety planning, an abuser's threats with a weapon or threats to kill should be rated as particularly serious, as should a possible murderer's access to a gun. Thus, the researchers suggest that the legal prohibition against gun ownership for those convicted of domestic violence is especially important to enforce, and any protection order should include firearms search-and-seizure provisions.*

#### For more information

- Background information on the Danger Assessment plus the full text of the questionnaire is available at <http://www.son.jhmi.edu/research/CNR/homicide/DANGER.htm>.

#### Notes

1. Pataki, George, *Intimate Partner Homicides in New York State*, Albany, NY: State of New York, 1997; Campbell, Jacquelyn C., Phyllis W. Sharps, and Nancy Glass, "Risk Assessment for Intimate Partner Violence," in *Clinical Assessment of Dangerousness: Empirical Contributions*, ed. Georges-Franck Pinard and Linda Pagani, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000: 136–157; Bennett, Lauren, Lisa Goodman, and Mary Ann Dutton, "Risk Assessment Among Batterers Arrested for Domestic Violence," *Violence Against Women: An International and Interdisciplinary Journal* 6(11) (2000): 1190–1203; and Weisz, Arlene N., Richard M. Tolman, and Daniel G. Saunders, "Assessing the Risk of Severe Domestic Violence: The Importance of Survivors' Predictions," *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 15(1) (2000): 75–90.
2. An actuarial instrument is one that provides weightings and published scores that have been shown through formal and independent research to predict violent outcomes. See Roehl, Jan, and Kristin Guertin, *Current Use of Dangerousness Assessments in Sentencing Domestic Violence Offenders*, Pacific Grove, CA: State Justice Institute, 1988; and Quinsey, Vernon L., Grant T. Harris, Marnie E. Rice, and Catherine A. Cormier, *Violent Offenders: Appraising and Managing Risk* (1st ed.), Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 1998.
3. Campbell, Jacquelyn C., Daniel Webster, Jane Koziol-McLain, Carolyn Rebecca Block, Doris Williams Campbell, Faye Gary, Judith M. McFarlane, Carolyn Sachs, Phyllis W. Sharps, Yvonne Ulrich, Susan A. Wilt, Jennifer Manganello, Xiao Xu, Janet Schollenberger, and Victoria Frye, "Risk Factors for Femicide in Abusive Relationships: Results From a Multisite Case Control Study," *American Journal of Public Health* (93) (2003): 1089–1097.
4. See Sharps, Phyllis W., Jacquelyn C. Campbell, Doris Williams Campbell, Faye Gary, and Daniel Webster, "The Role of Alcohol Use in Intimate Partner Femicide," *American Journal on Addictions* 10(2) (2001): 1–14, for a complete multivariate analysis of substance abuse of both the perpetrator and victim in these data.
5. Browne, Angela, Kirk R. Williams, and Donald G. Dutton, "Homicide Between Intimate Partners," in *Homicide: A Sourcebook of Social Research*, ed. M. Dwayne Smith and Margaret A. Zahn, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 1999: 149–164.